Appendix 3 – Evaluation of options



Future form of Wightcare service – Option 1 retaining existing Council service (recommended option)



| Pro | Con |
|--|--|
| Wightcare is a trusted brand with growth across different service offers | Without robust service development and restructure, service costs will outweigh income |
| Retains valuable staff resources with longstanding knowledge | Current low level of marketing activity will not extend reach of the service |
| Local service is delivered by locals - Personal touch | Service requires greater profile across health and care |
| Greater knowledge of community resources and networks to link customers to | Price increases alone will not fully address the financial position & will lead to loss of customers |
| Retains local pound as significant contributor to island economy | Overhead costs are outside of the control of Wightcare and may fluctuate due to other corporate service need costs. |

Future form of Wightcare service – Option 2 service commissioned externally



| Pro | Con |
|--|---|
| Potential to exploit greater economies of scale and experience across different contracts | Greater likelihood of limited local knowledge and services available on the island |
| Greater expected experience of benefits realisation and culture change due to nature of commissioned contracts | Far more likely to only deliver exactly what is laid out in the contract and far harder to amend during contract without variation process |
| Regular performance monitoring to ensure KPI's are achieved | Potentially significant unseen cost outside of contract for additional extras |
| | More likelihood for money to be taken out of local economy as service provider expected to not be located on the island |

Future form of Wightcare service – Option 3 new Local Authority Trading Company (LATC)



| Pro | Con |
|---|--|
| The new company has the 'branding' associated with the Council to retain public confidence | There are one off set up costs to create a new infrastructure |
| Terms of set up will include the level of any profit that is returned in kind to the Council or reinvested in the company to meet increased demand | The retained infrastructure of the Council may not easily achieve the benefit of cost reductions & consequent savings |
| Retains value staff resources and experience | Separate insurance and risk indemnity will be required |
| Research has shown that staff commitment increases and sickness decreases. | Question over whether the trading arm would need to purchase support services through the Council or option to look elsewhere |
| | Need for LATC model unclear as no defined competition on island |